Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/595,646	BOCKHOLT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TOBY D. HAIN	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ddress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired.	red on	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appe		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		fide attempt at a proper rep	oly, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was 	5).		
), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The publication fee, if require	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	.
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	•		
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	_ (with a Certificate of Mailinoุ	g or Transmission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record	, the assignee of the entire	interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		d because the period for sec	eking court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
Mr. William Conger (attorney of record with Brooks lapplication has been abandoned.	Kushman P.C.) called the	Examiner and indicated	that the
/Daniel M Sullivan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1621	/TOBY D HAIN/ Examiner, Art Unit	1621	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment	under 37 CFR 1.181, should be	e promptly filed to